



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/473,740	12/28/1999	HONGJIANG SONG	INTL-0327-US	1399
75	90 11/20/2002			
TIMOTHY N TROP TROP PRUNER HU & MILES P C 8554 KATY FREEWAY, STE 100 HOUSTON, TX: 77024			EXAMINER	
		VO, DON NO		NGUYEN
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2631	
			DATE MAILED: 11/20/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		HC	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
المريو	09/473,740	SONG, HONGJIANG	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	DON N VO	2631	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	n appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicatic - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory p - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a con. , a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	n <u>03 September 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice up			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applic	cation.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	•		
Applicant may not request that any objection	• ,		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		disapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required	• •		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ne Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	preign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority docur			
2. Certified copies of the priority docur			
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified copies of the ce	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	•		
a) The translation of the foreign language 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional application has b	peen received.	
Attachment(s)		- 00	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01)

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 09/473,740

Art Unit: 2631

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgment

1. This Office Action is responsive to the Amendment filed on 9/3/02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-8 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over admitted prior art (fig. 1) of instant application in view of Kline et al (5,068,880; newly cited art).

As shown in figure 1, the admitted prior art teaches a repeater circuit comprising a data recovery circuit (16) and synchronization detection circuit (18). See also page 1, line 1 to page 2, line 10 of the instant application. The admitted prior art fails to teach detecting whether some of the bits indicate a synchronization field during the buffering the bits. However, Kline teaches, as shown in figures 3 and 4A and abstract, detecting whether some of the incoming bits indicate a synchronization field during the buffering the incoming bits in order to achieve high speed of transferring data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the repeater circuit of the admitted prior art by employing the teaching of

Application/Control Number: 09/473,740

Art Unit: 2631

Kline et al so that to improve the delay time of repeating the data since both buffering bits and detecting synchronization field are performed concurrently.

4. Claims 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art (fig. 1) of instant application in view of Kline et al (5,068,880; newly cited art) as applied to claims 1-8 and 15-20 above, and further in view of Banker et al (5,497,187; art of record), Andersson et al (5,671,249; art of record) or Julyan (5,790,610; art of record).

Both the admitted prior art and kline et al teach all subject matter claimed except for using the output of the data recovery circuit to apply to the transmitter to form an outgoing data. However, Banker (fig. 4C), Andersson (figs. 3 and 4) or Julyan (figs. 1, 2 and 7) teaches using the output of the data recovery circuit to apply to the transmitter to form an outgoing data. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the repeater of the admitted prior art by employing the teachings of Banker, Andersson or Julyan so that the delay for processing the signal received from the receiving end to the transmitting end of the repeater can be reduced.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-20 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Page 4

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. References Annamalai (5,063,575) and Ibenthal (5,493,589) are cited because they are pertinent to system having data buffering and sync detection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DON N VO whose telephone number is (703) 305-4885. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM-5:00PM, Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHI PHAM can be reached on (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

DON N VO Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2631

November 15, 2002